MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

STACK HOLDER FEEDBACK COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS (2020-2021)

1

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

INDEX

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

DEPARTMENT OF
COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri IT Park
Chennai, 60 103

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

Feedback Summary on Curriculum collected from Different Stockholders Batch: 2017 -2021

Students Feedback

We have collected feedback from our under graduate students. In this feedback form based on five-point scale in the curriculum is developed. The students felt that the objective was clear for each courses and course workload was also manageable by the students. The Syllabus framed was socially relevant and suitable for the placements in recent technologies.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate the sequence of the courses as per the courses studied in the previous semesters	45	35	18	2	0
Q2	Rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course	42.5	32.5	20	5	0
Q3	Rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course	48	28	22	2	0
Q4	Rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course	45	30	20	5	0
Q5	Rate the allocation of the credits to the courses	50	35	15	0	0
Q6	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (Lecture-Tutorial Lectures-Practical)	55	30	15	0	0
Q7	Rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams	48.5	31.5	20	0	0
Q8	Rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements	45	32	18	5	0
Q9	Rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books by their International recognition to the Courses	60	30	10	0	0
Q10	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the	50	25	25	0	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
Road (OMR) Siruseri, IT Park
103.

4

|	student					
Q11	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	48	32	20	0	0
Q12	Rate the courses in terms of sequence of offering considering whether the preceding courses have been covered.	56	24	15	5	0
Q13	Rate the loading of the courses in a semester	60	30	10	0	0
Q14	Rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	58	32	10	0	0
Q15	Rate the objectives stated for each of the course	64	36	10	0	0
Q16	Rate the objectives stated for each of the course	50	37	13	0	0
Q17	Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project	54	26	20	0	0
Q18	Rate the percentage of courses having LAB components	48	32	20	0	0
Q19	Rate the domain used for designing the experiments for the LAB components	49.5	40.5	10	0	0
Q20	Rate the experiments in relation to the real life Applications	44	36	20	0	0

An average of 47% students are Excellent, 33% students are very good, 18% students are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % students are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science &engineering.

Faculty Feedback

We have collected feedback from our faculty members. In this feedback form based on five-point scale in the curriculum is developed. The faculties are felt that the objectives were clear for each courses and the course workload was also manageable by the students. The syllabus framed was socially relevant and suitable for the placements in recent technologies.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	The curriculum and Syllabus are well organized and suitable to the program	45	32	18	5	0
Q2	Rate the clarity and appropriateness of	60	30	10	0	0

	program outcomes specific to the program					
Q3	Rate the sequencing of courses offered in the curriculum	50	25	25	0	0
Q4	Rate the availability of department library and ICT tools like LCD projectors, software.	48	32	20	0	0
Q5	Rate effectiveness the system and processes followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (Lecture-Tutorial Lectures-Practical)	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate balancing of the theory and practical courses in the curriculum	58	32	10	0	0
Q8	Rate the courses in curriculum which improve students knowledge and skills through experiential learning	64	36	10	0	0
Q9	Provision to update and revise curriculum on regular basis	50	37	13	0	0
Q10	Rate the electives offered in the program encompass technological advancements	54	26	20	0	0
Q11	Rate the activities like industry visits, guest lectures and workshops conducted in the department which encourages students	48	32	20	0	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, D.P.,
Chennai-603 103.

	learning of courses in the curriculum					
Q12	Rate the evaluation methods of all the courses in the curriculum	45	35	10	0	0

An average of 46% Faculties are Excellent, 34% Faculties are very good, 17% Faculties are good, 2.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Faculties are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

ALUMNI FEEDBACK

Alumni Feedback offers important perceptive for evaluating academic programs and student services. They provide us the input regarding improvement in facilities and employability of our students. Based on the comments of the alumni, the analysis is done as follows:

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34 Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR) Siruseri IT Park
Chennai-603 103

8

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate relevance of the courses in curriculum to industry specific needs	40	30	20	10	0
Q2	Rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your current Job	56	24	15	5	0
Q3	Rate the skills and competencies derived from the courses which enhances employability skills	58	32	10	0	0
Q4	Rate the sequence of the courses in the programs	48	32	20	0	0
Q5	Rate the offering of the courses in relation to the specific domains	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate the electives selected in curriculum strengthen skills leads to technological developments	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate the courses which are skills oriented suits to the Industry requirements	54	26	20	0	0
Q8	Rate relevance of the tools and software specified in curriculum to excel in job or higher studies	60	30	10	0	0
Q9	Rate the standard of lab experiments for solving industry problems	50	25	25	0	0
Q10	Rate the courses in curriculum which directly helps to design and build real life applications	54	26	20	0	0

9

An average of 47% Alumni's are Excellent, 33% Alumni's are very good, 18% Alumni's are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Alumni's are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

Employer Feedback

Our employers are major stockholders, feedback of whom gives us input regarding enhancing the employability of our students. We have collected feedback from the employees where we ask our employers to comment on the curriculum.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate the sufficiency of the courses in program curriculum directly linked to the industry skill sets	45	25	30	10	0
Q2	Rate relevance of the courses in the program	60	30	10	5	0

	curriculum with industry requirements					
Q3	Rate technical competencies enhanced through courses in curriculum	58	32	10	0	0
Q4	Rate the offering of the major courses in relation to specific domains	45	30	20	5	0
Q5	Rate the offering of the electives as par with technological advancements	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate skills-oriented courses in the curriculum cater to the Industry needs	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate the relevance of the topics in the courses to the Industry	54	26	20	0	0
Q8	Rate the application of tools and software in curriculum helps for existing practices in the Industry	60	30	10	0	0
Q9	Rate the hands-on training in labs and workshops embed in the syllabus helps in solving industry problems	50	25	25	0	0
Q10	Rate the project works in curriculum enhances students to build real-life applications	46	24	20	10	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR) Siruseri IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

An average of 48% Employers are Excellent, 32% Employers are very good, 18% Employers are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Employers are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR) Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

DEPARTMENT OF
INFORMATI0N TECHNOLOGY

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

Feedback Summary on Curriculum collected from Different Stockholders Batch: 2017 -2021

Students Feedback

We have collected feedback from our under graduate students. In this feedback form based on five-point scale in the curriculum is developed. The students felt that the objective was clear for each courses and course workload was also manageable by the students. The Syllabus framed was socially relevant and suitable for the placements in recent technologies.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate the sequence of the courses as per the courses studied in the previous semesters	47	35	18	0	0
Q2	Rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course	46	34	20	0	0
Q3	Rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course	50	30	22	2	0
Q4	Rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course	45	30	20	5	0
Q5	Rate the allocation of the credits to the courses	50	35	15	0	0
Q6	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (Lecture-Tutorial Lectures-Practical)	55	30	15	0	0
Q7	Rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams	48.5	31.5	20	0	0
Q8	Rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements	45	32	18		0
Q9	Rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books by their International recognition to the Courses	60	30	10	0	0
Q10	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the	50	25	25	0	0

14

|						
	student					
Q11	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	48	32	20	0	0
Q12	Rate the courses in terms of sequence of offering considering whether the preceding courses have been covered.	56	24	15	5	0
Q13	Rate the loading of the courses in a semester	60	30	10	0	0
Q14	Rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	58	32	10	0	0
Q15	Rate the objectives stated for each of the course	64	36	10	0	0
Q16	Rate the objectives stated for each of the course	50	37	13	0	0
Q17	Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project	54	26	20	0	0
Q18	Rate the percentage of courses having LAB components	48	32	20	0	0
Q19	Rate the domain used for designing the experiments for the LAB components	50	40	10	0	0
Q20	Rate the experiments in relation to the real life Applications	44	36	20	0	0

An average of 48% students are Excellent, 32% students are very good, 18% students are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % students are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

Faculty Feedback

We have collected feedback from our faculty members. In this feedback form based on five-point scale in the curriculum is developed. The faculties are felt that the objectives were clear for each courses and the course workload was also manageable by the students. The syllabus framed was socially relevant and suitable for the placements in recent technologies.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	The curriculum and Syllabus are well organized and suitable to the program	50	30	20	0	0
Q2	Rate the clarity and appropriateness of	60	30	10	0	0

	program outcomes specific to the program					
Q3	Rate the sequencing of courses offered in the curriculum	50	25	25	0	0
Q4	Rate the availability of department library and ICT tools like LCD projectors, software.	48	32	20	0	0
Q5	Rate effectiveness the system and processes followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (Lecture-Tutorial Lectures-Practical)	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate balancing of the theory and practical courses in the curriculum	58	32	10	0	0
Q8	Rate the courses in curriculum which improve students knowledge and skills through experiential learning	64	36	10	0	0
Q9	Provision to update and revise curriculum on regular basis	50	37	13	0	0
Q10	Rate the electives offered in the program encompass technological advancements	54	26	20	0	0
Q11	Rate the activities like industry visits, guest lectures and workshops conducted in the department which encourages students	48	32	20	0	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

	learning of courses in the curriculum					
Q12	Rate the evaluation methods of all the courses in the curriculum	45	35	10	0	0

An average of 47% Faculties are Excellent, 33% Faculties are very good, 17% Faculties are good, 2.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Faculties are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

ALUMNI FEEDBACK

Alumni Feedback offers important perceptive for evaluating academic programs and student services. They provide us the input regarding improvement in facilities and employability of our students. Based on the comments of the alumni, the analysis is done as follows:

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

18

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate relevance of the courses in curriculum to industry specific needs	47	33	20	0	0
Q2	Rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your current Job	50	24	21	5	0
Q3	Rate the skills and competencies derived from the courses which enhances employability skills	58	32	10	0	0
Q4	Rate the sequence of the courses in the programs	48	32	20	0	0
Q5	Rate the offering of the courses in relation to the specific domains	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate the electives selected in curriculum strengthen skills leads to technological developments	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate the courses which are skills oriented suits to the Industry requirements	54	26	20	0	0
Q8	Rate relevance of the tools and software specified in curriculum to excel in job or higher studies	60	30	10	0	0
Q9	Rate the standard of lab experiments for solving industry problems	50	25	25	0	0
Q10	Rate the courses in curriculum which directly helps to design and build real life applications	54	26	20	0	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

An average of 46.5% Alumni's are Excellent, 31% Alumni's are very good, 19% Alumni's are good, 2.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Alumni's are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

Employer Feedback

Our employers are major stockholders, feedback of whom gives us input regarding enhancing the employability of our students. We have collected feedback from the employees where we ask our employers to comment on the curriculum.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate the sufficiency of the courses in program curriculum directly linked to the industry skill sets	50	25	25	0	0
Q2	Rate relevance of the courses in the program	60	30	10	5	0

	curriculum with industry requirements					
Q3	Rate technical competencies enhanced through courses in curriculum	58	32	10	0	0
Q4	Rate the offering of the major courses in relation to specific domains	45	30	20	5	0
Q5	Rate the offering of the electives as par with technological advancements	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate skills-oriented courses in the curriculum cater to the Industry needs	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate the relevance of the topics in the courses to the Industry	54	26	20	0	0
Q8	Rate the application of tools and software in curriculum helps for existing practices in the Industry	60	30	10	0	0
Q9	Rate the hands-on training in labs and workshops embed in the syllabus helps in solving industry problems	50	25	25	0	0
Q10	Rate the project works in curriculum enhances students to build real-life applications	46	24	20	10	0

An average of 48% Employers are Excellent, 32% Employers are very good, 18% Employers are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Employers are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR). Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

DEPARTMENT OF
ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

Feedback Summary on Curriculum collected from Different Stockholders Batch: 2017 -2021

Students Feedback

We have collected feedback from our under graduate students. In this feedback form based on five-point scale in the curriculum is developed. The students felt that the objective was clear for each courses and course workload was also manageable by the students. The Syllabus framed was socially relevant and suitable for the placements in recent technologies.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate the sequence of the courses as per the courses studied in the previous semesters	45	35	18	2	0
Q2	Rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course	47	33	20	0	0
Q3	Rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course	48	28	22	2	0
Q4	Rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course	45	30	20	5	0
Q5	Rate the allocation of the credits to the courses	50	35	15	0	0
Q6	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (Lecture-Tutorial Lectures-Practical)	55	30	15	0	0
Q7	Rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams	50	30	20	0	0
Q8	Rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements	45	32	18	5	0
Q9	Rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books by their International recognition to the Courses	60	30	10	0	0
Q10	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the	50	25	25	0	0

|	student					
Q11	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	48	32	20	0	0
Q12	Rate the courses in terms of sequence of offering considering whether the preceding courses have been covered.	56	24	15	5	0
Q13	Rate the loading of the courses in a semester	60	30	10	0	0
Q14	Rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	58	32	10	0	0
Q15	Rate the objectives stated for each of the course	64	36	10	0	0
Q16	Rate the objectives stated for each of the course	50	37	13	0	0
Q17	Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project	54	26	20	0	0
Q18	Rate the percentage of courses having LAB components	48	32	20	0	0
Q19	Rate the domain used for designing the experiments for the LAB components	49	41	10	0	0
Q20	Rate the experiments in relation to the real life Applications	44	36	20	0	0

An average of 50% students are Excellent, 30% students are very good, 18% students are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % students are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

Faculty Feedback

We have collected feedback from our faculty members. In this feedback form based on five-point scale in the curriculum is developed. The faculties are felt that the objectives were clear for each courses and the course workload was also manageable by the students. The syllabus framed was socially relevant and suitable for the placements in recent technologies.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	The curriculum and Syllabus are well organized and suitable to the program	45	32	18	5	0
Q2	Rate the clarity and appropriateness of	60	30	10	0	0

|						
	program outcomes specific to the program					
Q3	Rate the sequencing of courses offered in the curriculum	50	25	25	0	0
Q4	Rate the availability of department library and ICT tools like LCD projectors, software.	48	32	20	0	0
Q5	Rate effectiveness the system and processes followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (Lecture-Tutorial Lectures-Practical)	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate balancing of the theory and practical courses in the curriculum	58	32	10	0	0
Q8	Rate the courses in curriculum which improve students knowledge and skills through experiential learning	64	36	10	0	0
Q9	Provision to update and revise curriculum on regular basis	50	37	13	0	0
Q10	Rate the electives offered in the program encompass technological advancements	54	26	20	0	0
Q11	Rate the activities like industry visits, guest lectures and workshops conducted in the department which encourages students	48	32	20	0	0

PRINCIPAL

MOHAMED SATHAK A.J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

44, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park

Chennai-603 103.

	learning of courses in the curriculum					
Q12	Rate the evaluation methods of all the courses in the curriculum	45	35	10	0	0

An average of 46% Faculties are Excellent, 34% Faculties are very good, 17% Faculties are good, 2.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Faculties are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

ALUMNI FEEDBACK

Alumni Feedback offers important perceptive for evaluating academic programs and student services. They provide us the input regarding improvement in facilities and employability of our students. Based on the comments of the alumni, the analysis is done as follows:

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
36 Gandhi Road (OMR) Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

| Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate relevance of the courses in curriculum to industry specific needs	40	30	20	10	0
Q2	Rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your current Job	56	24	15	5	0
Q3	Rate the skills and competencies derived from the courses which enhances employability skills	58	32	10	0	0
Q4	Rate the sequence of the courses in the programs	48	32	20	0	0
Q5	Rate the offering of the courses in relation to the specific domains	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate the electives selected in curriculum strengthen skills leads to technological developments	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate the courses which are skills oriented suits to the Industry requirements	54	26	20	0	0
Q8	Rate relevance of the tools and software specified in curriculum to excel in job or higher studies	60	30	10	0	0
Q9	Rate the standard of lab experiments for solving industry problems	50	25	25	0	0
Q10	Rate the courses in curriculum which directly helps to design and build real life applications	54	26	20	0	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34 Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

An average of 47% Alumni's are Excellent, 33% Alumni's are very good, 18% Alumni's are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Alumni's are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

Employer Feedback

Our employers are major stockholders, feedback of whom gives us input regarding enhancing the employability of our students. We have collected feedback from the employees where we ask our employers to comment on the curriculum.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate the sufficiency of the courses in program curriculum directly linked to the industry skill sets	45	25	30	10	0
Q2	Rate relevance of the courses in the program	60	30	10	5	0

	curriculum with industry requirements					
Q3	Rate technical competencies enhanced through courses in curriculum	58	32	10	0	0
Q4	Rate the offering of the major courses in relation to specific domains	45	30	20	5	0
Q5	Rate the offering of the electives as par with technological advancements	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate skills-oriented courses in the curriculum cater to the Industry needs	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate the relevance of the topics in the courses to the Industry	54	26	20	0	0
Q8	Rate the application of tools and software in curriculum helps for existing practices in the Industry	60	30	10	0	0
Q9	Rate the hands-on training in labs and workshops embed in the syllabus helps in solving industry problems	50	25	25	0	0
Q10	Rate the project works in curriculum enhances students to build real-life applications	46	24	20	10	0

An average of 48% Employers are Excellent, 32% Employers are very good, 18% Employers are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Employers are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

DEPARTMENT OF
# ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
3A, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

Feedback Summary on Curriculum collected from Different Stockholders Batch: 2017 -2021

Students Feedback

We have collected feedback from our under graduate students. In this feedback form based on five-point scale in the curriculum is developed. The students felt that the objective was clear for each courses and course workload was also manageable by the students. The Syllabus framed was socially relevant and suitable for the placements in recent technologies.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate the sequence of the courses as per the courses studied in the previous semesters	45	35	18	2	0
Q2	Rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course	50	30	20	0	0
Q3	Rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course	48	28	22	2	0
Q4	Rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course	45	30	20	5	0
Q5	Rate the allocation of the credits to the courses	50	35	15	0	0
Q6	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (Lecture-Tutorial Lectures-Practical)	55	30	15	0	0
Q7	Rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams	49	31	20	0	0
Q8	Rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements	45	32	18	5	0
Q9	Rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books by their International recognition to the Courses	60	30	10	0	0
Q10	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the	50	25	25	0	0

		student					
Q11	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	48	32	20	0		0
Q12	Rate the courses in terms of sequence of offering considering whether the preceding courses have been covered.	56	24	15	5		0
Q13	Rate the loading of the courses in a semester	60	30	10	0		0
Q14	Rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	58	32	10	0		0
Q15	Rate the objectives stated for each of the course	64	36	10	0		0
Q16	Rate the objectives stated for each of the course	50	37	13	0		0
Q17	Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project	54	26	20	0		0
Q18	Rate the percentage of courses having LAB components	48	32	20	0		0
Q19	Rate the domain used for designing the experiments for the LAB components	48	42	10	0		0
Q20	Rate the experiments in relation to the real life Applications	44	36	20	0		0

An average of 47% students are Excellent, 33% students are very good, 18% students are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % students are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

Faculty Feedback

We have collected feedback from our faculty members. In this feedback form based on five-point scale in the curriculum is developed. The faculties are felt that the objectives were clear for each courses and the course workload was also manageable by the students. The syllabus framed was socially relevant and suitable for the placements in recent technologies.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	The curriculum and Syllabus are well organized and suitable to the program	45	32	18	5	0
Q2	Rate the clarity and appropriateness of	60	30	10	0	0

	program outcomes specific to the program					
Q3	Rate the sequencing of courses offered in the curriculum	50	25	25	0	0
Q4	Rate the availability of department library and ICT tools like LCD projectors, software.	48	32	20	0	0
Q5	Rate effectiveness the system and processes followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (Lecture-Tutorial Lectures-Practical)	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate balancing of the theory and practical courses in the curriculum	58	32	10	0	0
Q8	Rate the courses in curriculum which improve students knowledge and skills through experiential learning	64	36	10	0	0
Q9	Provision to update and revise curriculum on regular basis	50	37	13	0	0
Q10	Rate the electives offered in the program encompass technological advancements	54	26	20	0	0
Q11	Rate the activities like industry visits, guest lectures and workshops conducted in the department which encourages students	48	32	20	0	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

	learning of courses in the curriculum					
Q12	Rate the evaluation methods of all the courses in the curriculum	45	35	10	0	0

An average of 46% Faculties are Excellent, 34% Faculties are very good, 17% Faculties are good, 2.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Faculties are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

ALUMNI FEEDBACK

Alumni Feedback offers important perceptive for evaluating academic programs and student services. They provide us the input regarding improvement in facilities and employability of our students. Based on the comments of the alumni, the analysis is done as follows:

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

| Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate relevance of the courses in curriculum to industry specific needs	40	30	20	10	0
Q2	Rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your current Job	56	24	15	5	0
Q3	Rate the skills and competencies derived from the courses which enhances employability skills	58	32	10	0	0
Q4	Rate the sequence of the courses in the programs	48	32	20	0	0
Q5	Rate the offering of the courses in relation to the specific domains	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate the electives selected in curriculum strengthen skills leads to technological developments	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate the courses which are skills oriented suits to the Industry requirements	54	26	20	0	0
Q8	Rate relevance of the tools and software specified in curriculum to excel in job or higher studies	60	30	10	0	0
Q9	Rate the standard of lab experiments for solving industry problems	50	25	25	0	0
Q10	Rate the courses in curriculum which directly helps to design and build real life applications	54	26	20	0	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

An average of 47% Alumni's are Excellent, 33% Alumni's are very good, 18% Alumni's are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Alumni's are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

Employer Feedback

Our employers are major stockholders, feedback of whom gives us input regarding enhancing the employability of our students. We have collected feedback from the employees where we ask our employers to comment on the curriculum.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate the sufficiency of the courses in program curriculum directly linked to the industry skill sets	45	25	30	10	0
Q2	Rate relevance of the courses in the program	60	30	10	5	0

	curriculum with industry requirements					
Q3	Rate technical competencies enhanced through courses in curriculum	58	32	10	0	0
Q4	Rate the offering of the major courses in relation to specific domains	45	30	20	5	0
Q5	Rate the offering of the electives as par with technological advancements	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate skills-oriented courses in the curriculum cater to the Industry needs	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate the relevance of the topics in the courses to the Industry	54	26	20	0	0
Q8	Rate the application of tools and software in curriculum helps for existing practices in the Industry	60	30	10	0	0
Q9	Rate the hands-on training in labs and workshops embed in the syllabus helps in solving industry problems	50	25	25	0	0
Q10	Rate the project works in curriculum enhances students to build real-life applications	46	24	20	10	0

An average of 48% Employers are Excellent, 32% Employers are very good, 18% Employers are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Employers are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

DEPARTMENT OF
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Feedback Summary on Curriculum collected from Different Stockholders Batch: 2017 -2021

Students Feedback

We have collected feedback from our under graduate students. In this feedback form based on five-point scale in the curriculum is developed. The students felt that the objective was clear for each courses and course workload was also manageable by the students. The Syllabus framed was socially relevant and suitable for the placements in recent technologies.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate the sequence of the courses as per the courses studied in the previous semesters	46.5	33.5	18	2	0
Q2	Rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course	43.5	31.5	20	5	0
Q3	Rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course	48	28	22	2	0
Q4	Rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course	45	30	20	5	0
Q5	Rate the allocation of the credits to the courses	50	35	15	0	0
Q6	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (Lecture-Tutorial Lectures-Practical)	55	30	15	0	0
Q7	Rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams	48.5	31.5	20	0	0
Q8	Rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements	45	32	18	5	0
Q9	Rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books by their International recognition to the Courses	60	30	10	0	0
Q10	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the	50	25	25	0	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

|	student					
Q11	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	48	32	20	0	0
Q12	Rate the courses in terms of sequence of offering considering whether the preceding courses have been covered.	56	24	15	5	0
Q13	Rate the loading of the courses in a semester	60	30	10	0	0
Q14	Rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	58	32	10	0	0
Q15	Rate the objectives stated for each of the course	64	36	10	0	0
Q16	Rate the objectives stated for each of the course	50	37	13	0	0
Q17	Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project	54	26	20	0	0
Q18	Rate the percentage of courses having LAB components	48	32	20	0	0
Q19	Rate the domain used for designing the experiments for the LAB components	49.5	40.5	10	0	0
Q20	Rate the experiments in relation to the real life Applications	44	36	20	0	0

An average of 48.5% students are Excellent, 31.5% students are very good, 18% students are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % students are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

Faculty Feedback

We have collected feedback from our faculty members. In this feedback form based on five-point scale in the curriculum is developed. The faculties are felt that the objectives were clear for each courses and the course workload was also manageable by the students. The syllabus framed was socially relevant and suitable for the placements in recent technologies.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	The curriculum and Syllabus are well organized and suitable to the program	45	32	18	5	0
Q2	Rate the clarity and appropriateness of	60	30	10	0	0

	program outcomes specific to the program					
Q3	Rate the sequencing of courses offered in the curriculum	50	25	25	0	0
Q4	Rate the availability of department library and ICT tools like LCD projectors, software.	48	32	20	0	0
Q5	Rate effectiveness the system and processes followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (Lecture-Tutorial Lectures-Practical)	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate balancing of the theory and practical courses in the curriculum	58	32	10	0	0
Q8	Rate the courses in curriculum which improve students knowledge and skills through experiential learning	64	36	10	0	0
Q9	Provision to update and revise curriculum on regular basis	50	37	13	0	0
Q10	Rate the electives offered in the program encompass technological advancements	54	26	20	0	0
Q11	Rate the activities like industry visits, guest lectures and workshops conducted in the department which encourages students	48	32	20	0	0

	learning of courses in the curriculum					
Q12	Rate the evaluation methods of all the courses in the curriculum					
		45	35	10	0	0

An average of 46% Faculties are Excellent, 34% Faculties are very good, 17% Faculties are good, 2.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Faculties are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

ALUMNI FEEDBACK

Alumni Feedback offers important perceptive for evaluating academic programs and student services. They provide us the input regarding improvement in facilities and employability of our students. Based on the comments of the alumni, the analysis is done as follows:

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate relevance of the courses in curriculum to industry specific needs	40	30	20	10	0
Q2	Rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your current Job	56	24	15	5	0
Q3	Rate the skills and competencies derived from the courses which enhances employability skills	58	32	10	0	0
Q4	Rate the sequence of the courses in the programs	48	32	20	0	0
Q5	Rate the offering of the courses in relation to the specific domains	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate the electives selected in curriculum strengthen skills leads to technological developments	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate the courses which are skills oriented suits to the Industry requirements	54	26	20	0	0
Q8	Rate relevance of the tools and software specified in curriculum to excel in job or higher studies	60	30	10	0	0
Q9	Rate the standard of lab experiments for solving industry problems	50	25	25	0	0
Q10	Rate the courses in curriculum which directly helps to design and build real life applications	54	26	20	0	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

An average of 47% Alumni's are Excellent, 33% Alumni's are very good, 18% Alumni's are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Alumni's are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

Employer Feedback

Our employers are major stockholders, feedback of whom gives us input regarding enhancing the employability of our students. We have collected feedback from the employees where we ask our employers to comment on the curriculum.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate the sufficiency of the courses in program curriculum directly linked to the industry skill sets	45	25	30	10	0
Q2	Rate relevance of the courses in the program	60	30	10	5	0

	curriculum with industry requirements					
Q3	Rate technical competencies enhanced through courses in curriculum	58	32	10	0	0
Q4	Rate the offering of the major courses in relation to specific domains	45	30	20	5	0
Q5	Rate the offering of the electives as par with technological advancements	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate skills-oriented courses in the curriculum cater to the Industry needs	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate the relevance of the topics in the courses to the Industry	54	26	20	0	0
Q8	Rate the application of tools and software in curriculum helps for existing practices in the Industry	60	30	10	0	0
Q9	Rate the hands-on training in labs and workshops embed in the syllabus helps in solving industry problems	50	25	25	0	0
Q10	Rate the project works in curriculum enhances students to build real-life applications	46	24	20	10	0

An average of 48% Employers are Excellent, 32% Employers are very good, 18% Employers are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Employers are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

DEPARTMENT OF
CIVIL ENGINEERING

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

Feedback Summary on Curriculum collected from Different Stockholders Batch: 2017 -2021

Students Feedback

We have collected feedback from our under graduate students. In this feedback form based on five-point scale in the curriculum is developed. The students felt that the objective was clear for each courses and course workload was also manageable by the students. The Syllabus framed was socially relevant and suitable for the placements in recent technologies.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate the sequence of the courses as per the courses studied in the previous semesters	41.5	37.5	18	2	0
Q2	Rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course	45	35	20	0	0
Q3	Rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course	48	28	22	2	0
Q4	Rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course	45	30	20	5	0
Q5	Rate the allocation of the credits to the courses	50	35	15	0	0
Q6	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (Lecture-Tutorial Lectures-Practical)	55	30	15	0	0
Q7	Rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams	48.5	31.5	20	0	0
Q8	Rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements	45	32	18		0
Q9	Rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books by their International recognition to the Courses	60	30	10	0	0
Q10	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the	50	25	25	0	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR). Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

|	student					
Q11	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	48	32	20	0	0
Q12	Rate the courses in terms of sequence of offering considering whether the preceding courses have been covered.	56	24	15	5	0
Q13	Rate the loading of the courses in a semester	60	30	10	0	0
Q14	Rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	58	32	10	0	0
Q15	Rate the objectives stated for each of the course	64	36	10	0	0
Q16	Rate the objectives stated for each of the course	50	37	13	0	0
Q17	Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project	54	26	20	0	0
Q18	Rate the percentage of courses having LAB components	48	32	20	0	0
Q19	Rate the domain used for designing the experiments for the LAB components	49.5	40.5	10	0	0
Q20	Rate the experiments in relation to the real life Applications	44	36	20	0	0

An average of 47% students are Excellent, 33% students are very good, 18% students are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % students are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

Faculty Feedback

We have collected feedback from our faculty members. In this feedback form based on five-point scale in the curriculum is developed. The faculties are felt that the objectives were clear for each courses and the course workload was also manageable by the students. The syllabus framed was socially relevant and suitable for the placements in recent technologies.

| Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	The curriculum and Syllabus are well organized and suitable to the program	45	32	18	5	0
Q2	Rate the clarity and appropriateness of	60	30	10	0	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

	program outcomes specific to the program					
Q3	Rate the sequencing of courses offered in the curriculum	50	25	25	0	0
Q4	Rate the availability of department library and ICT tools like LCD projectors, software.	48	32	20	0	0
Q5	Rate effectiveness the system and processes followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (Lecture-Tutorial Lectures-Practical)	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate balancing of the theory and practical courses in the curriculum	58	32	10	0	0
Q8	Rate the courses in curriculum which improve students knowledge and skills through experiential learning	64	36	10	0	0
Q9	Provision to update and revise curriculum on regular basis	50	37	13	0	0
Q10	Rate the electives offered in the program encompass technological advancements	54	26	20	0	0
Q11	Rate the activities like industry visits, guest lectures and workshops conducted in the department which encourages students	48	32	20		0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603103.

	learning of courses in the curriculum					
Q12	Rate the evaluation methods of all the courses in the curriculum					
		45	35	10	0	0

An average of 46% Faculties are Excellent, 34% Faculties are very good, 17% Faculties are good, 2.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Faculties are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

ALUMNI FEEDBACK

Alumni Feedback offers important perceptive for evaluating academic programs and student services. They provide us the input regarding improvement in facilities and employability of our students. Based on the comments of the alumni, the analysis is done as follows:

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.

MOHAMED SATHAK A J COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi and Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai)

Siruseri IT Park, Egattur, Chennai 603 103

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate relevance of the courses in curriculum to industry specific needs	40	30	20	10	0
Q2	Rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your current Job	56	24	15	5	0
Q3	Rate the skills and competencies derived from the courses which enhances employability skills	58	32	10	0	0
Q4	Rate the sequence of the courses in the programs	48	32	20	0	0
Q5	Rate the offering of the courses in relation to the specific domains	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate the electives selected in curriculum strengthen skills leads to technological developments	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate the courses which are skills oriented suits to the Industry requirements	54	26	20	0	0
Q8	Rate relevance of the tools and software specified in curriculum to excel in job or higher studies	60	30	10	0	0
Q9	Rate the standard of lab experiments for solving industry problems	50	25	25	0	0
Q10	Rate the courses in curriculum which directly helps to design and build real life applications	54	26	20	0	0

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, II Park
Chennai-603 103.

An average of 47% Alumni's are Excellent, 33% Alumni's are very good, 18% Alumni's are good, 1.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Alumni's are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

Employer Feedback

Our employers are major stockholders, feedback of whom gives us input regarding enhancing the employability of our students. We have collected feedback from the employees where we ask our employers to comment on the curriculum.

Q.No.	Curriculum Evaluation Questions	Response in %				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Q1	Rate the sufficiency of the courses in program curriculum directly linked to the industry skill sets	45	25	30	10	0
Q2	Rate relevance of the courses in the program	60	30	10	5	0

	curriculum with industry requirements					
Q3	Rate technical competencies enhanced through courses in curriculum	58	32	10	0	0
Q4	Rate the offering of the major courses in relation to specific domains	45	30	20	5	0
Q5	Rate the offering of the electives as par with technological advancements	56	24	15	5	0
Q6	Rate skills-oriented courses in the curriculum cater to the Industry needs	60	30	10	0	0
Q7	Rate the relevance of the topics in the courses to the Industry	54	26	20	0	0
Q8	Rate the application of tools and software in curriculum helps for existing practices in the Industry	60	30	10	0	0
Q9	Rate the hands-on training in labs and workshops embed in the syllabus helps in solving industry problems	50	25	25	0	0
Q10	Rate the project works in curriculum enhances students to build real-life applications	46	24	20	10	0

An average of 49% Employers are Excellent, 31% Employers are very good, 17% Employers are good, 2.99% are satisfactory, 0.1 % Employers are not satisfactory with the current syllabus and the curriculum of various programs designed for computer science & engineering.

PRINCIPAL
MOHAMED SATHAK A.J.COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
34, Rajiv Gandhi Road (OMR), Siruseri, IT Park
Chennai-603 103.